

REMARKS

Applicants thank the Examiner for considering the references cited in the Information Disclosure Statement filed on June 20, 2001, as evidenced by the signed form PTO-1449.

Upon entry of this amendment, claims 1-42 are pending in this application. Claims 33-62 have been added. No new matter is included. In view of the following remarks, reconsideration and allowance of all claims pending in this application are respectfully requested.

CLAIM REJECTIONS UNDER 35 U.S.C. §102

Claims 1-32 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. §102(e) as allegedly being anticipated by U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2001/0006889 to Kraft ("Kraft"). Applicants traverse this rejection on the following basis.

Independent Claims 1 and 25

15 Independent claim 1 recites, *inter alia*, the feature of selecting at least one form option. Independent claims 25 recites similar features. Kraft does not disclose this
17 feature.

The Examiner alleges that: "Kraft teaches selecting one form option..." (see Page 2, ¶ 2 of the November 20, 2003 Office Action). In particular, the Examiner generally relies on what Kraft discloses in fig. 1 and paragraphs 0024, 0036, and 0038 for support. Applicants submit that the portions cited by the Examiner do not support
this position.

The embodiment relied on by the Examiner, described in paragraphs 0036 and 0038, discloses a chat entry display in cellular phone. (see Kraft, ¶ 0032). "Selecting 'chat' allows the user to define what number to chat with..." and "...the user is invited to enter a very short chat name—preferably in only one or two characters—in a **text box**." (see Kraft, ¶ 0033) (**Emphasis added**). When a user has entered the chat number, he will immediately enter the "normal" SMS editor for a GSM phone. (see Kraft, ¶ 0035). By selecting "Send" the phone will send the written text 107 to the number specified for chatting. (see Kraft, ¶ 0036). If the user presses the scroll key 10 he will get access to

alternative options available in the soft key. (see Kraft, ¶ 0036). These options may include "Save" and "Insert template". (see Kraft, ¶ 0036).

Applicants submit that Kraft does not disclose a form option as claimed. Rather, Kraft discloses a text box for entering preferably one or two characters and a text editor field for entering a message to send or save. Therefore, Kraft does not disclose at least one form option, much less selecting at least one form option. As such, Kraft does not anticipate claims 1 and 25.

Dependent claims 2-8 and 26-32 depend from and add features to one of independent claims 1 and 25. The dependent claims contain other patentable features as well. The independent claims are not anticipated by Kraft; therefore the dependent claims are patentable at least by virtue of their dependency.

Independent Claims 9 and 17

Independent claim 9 recites, *inter alia*, the feature of a user interface that accepts at least one form option for execution on a wireless client device. Independent claim 17 recites a similar feature. At least this feature is not disclosed by Kraft.

The Examiner alleges that: "Kraft teaches a user interface (i.e. input interface) that accepts at least one form option..." (see Page 3, ¶ 6 of the November 20, 2003 Office Action). In particular, the Examiner generally relies on what Kraft discloses in fig. 1 and paragraphs 0024, 0036, and 0038 for support. Applicants submit that the portions cited by the Examiner do not support this position.

The embodiment relied on by the Examiner, described in paragraphs 0036 and 0038, discloses a chat entry display in cellular phone. (see Kraft, ¶ 0032). "Selecting 'chat' allows the user to define what number to chat with..." and "...the user is invited to enter a very short chat name—preferably in only one or two characters—in a **text box**." (see Kraft, ¶ 0033) (**Emphasis added**). When a user has entered the chat number, he will immediately enter the "normal" SMS editor for a GSM phone. (see Kraft, ¶ 0035). By selecting "Send" the phone will send the written text 107 to the number specified for chatting. (see Kraft, ¶ 0036). If the user presses the scroll key 10 he will get access to alternative options available in the soft key. (see Kraft, ¶ 0036). These options may include "Save" and "Insert template". (see Kraft, ¶ 0036).

Applicants submit that Kraft does not disclose a form option as claimed. Rather, Kraft discloses a text box for entering preferably one or two characters and a text editor field for entering a message to send or save. Therefore, Kraft does not disclose a user interface that accepts at least one form option.

Dependent claims 10-16 and 18-24 contain other patentable features. Claims 10-16 and 18-24 depend from and add features to either independent claim 9 or independent claim 17. The independent claims are not anticipated by Kraft; therefore the dependent claims are patentable at least by virtue of their dependency.

Dependent Claims 5, 13, 21, and 29

Dependent claim 5 recites, *inter alia*, the feature of wherein the presentation options comprises at least one of facsimile form, memorandum form, invitation form, and user profile form. Dependent claims 13, 21, and 29 recite similar features. Kraft does not disclose this feature. In fact, paragraph 033, on which the Examiner relies, makes no mention of a facsimile form, a memorandum form, an invitation form, a user profile form or any other presentation option. As a result, Kraft does not anticipate claims 5, 13, 21, and 29.

Dependent Claims 8, 16, 24, and 32

Dependent claim 8 recites, *inter alia*, the feature wherein the form application comprises at least one form and at least one related subform. Claims 16, 24, and 32 recite similar features. Applicants submit that Kraft does not disclose this feature.

The Examiner alleges that "Kraft teaches that the form application comprises at least one form and at least one related subform (page 3, paragraph 0036)." (see Page 3, fifth ¶ of the November 20, 2003 Office Action).

Paragraph 0036, on which the Examiner relies, merely discloses that a previously saved text editor can be re-entered by using an "Insert template" command. However, Kraft does not disclose a "subform" as set forth in Applicants' specifications at, for example, page 23, line 17- page 24, line 12. Therefore, claims 8, 16, 24, and 32 are not anticipated by Kraft.


Having addressed each of the foregoing rejections, it is respectfully submitted that a full and complete response has been made to the Office Action and, as such, the application is in condition for allowance. Notice to that effect is respectfully requested.

If the Examiner believes, for any reason, that personal communication will expedite prosecution of this application, the Examiner is invited to telephone the undersigned at the number provided.

Dated: March 22, 2004

Respectfully submitted,

Customer Number
29315



James G. Gatto
Registration No.: 32,694
MINTZ, LEVIN, COHN, FERRIS, GLOVSKY AND
POPEO P.C.
12010 Sunset Hills Road, Suite 900
Reston, Virginia 20190
703-464-4806